

## FAIR MAN IN AN UNFAIR WORLD - ROBERT FISK

"What is happening in Lebanon will change (by example) a variety of things on this planet that people accept as fact. The fundamental reason for this is that when Hassan Nasrallah says he cares about the Lebanese people, he means it. Not that anyone in this country would know that of course, since mainstream media hasn't played more than thirty seconds of any of his statements followed by the "white man's overview", but if you had the opportunity to actually hear him you would surely know differently. This makes him unique as a leader since there are very few leaders (certainly not ours) that actually care for the people they are supposed to serve and protect. We should all be very well aware by now that leaders on this planet aren't elected, they are "installed" and are there to support the desires of the Global Corporation at the expense of the individual.

One glaring example of this was Hezbollah's immediate response to the cease fire. Engineers and doctors were immediately dispatched, and registry centers were set up all over the south for people in need of aid. The response was so swift that European aid agencies that went in after the cease fire couldn't find people that had not already been visited by Hezbollah. The aid workers reported that "The people were happy to take the extra supplies but said Hezbollah had already taken care of all of their needs". Two days after registering, people were called back in and given \$12,000 U.S. Dollars each (the equivalent of two and a half times the average annual salary), no questions asked. All of this occurred within three days of the end of the bombings. Nasrallah has additionally pledged to not only rebuild their houses, but to build them better ones.

Contrast this with the U.S. response to Katrina. Weeks after the event the most the U.S. was willing to offer these people was a \$1,200 impossible to get debit card (which isn't equivalent to anything) for which they have

now spent untold millions in tracking down supposed "fraudulent" use of those funds. A year after the event these people are still homeless, the city is still in rubble, and the only thing our government did for them was to stigmatize them for not being wealthy enough to get out of the way on their own.

Which of these responses seems like the act of a terrorist government to you? Unless you're a fool, you would have to choose the latter. Is that the kind of environment you expect the peoples of the world to warmly embrace? If they wholly reject it, does that mean they hate your "freedom"? If they say "No, you can't have our land, you can't have our resources, and you can't have the servitude of our people", will you call that terrorism?

<http://www.independent.co.uk/biography/robert-fisk>

Ask with Robert Fisk:

THEOLOGY VERSUS TECHNOLOGY: WHO WILL WIN?!  
"They don't want us, they want freedom from us!"  
Forget not, Bosnia is a part&parcel of it!

Quotation:

"After the allied victory of 1918, at the end of my father's war, the victors divided up the lands of their former enemies. In the space of just seventeen months, they created the borders of Northern Ireland, Yugoslavia and most of the Middle East. And I have spent my entire career—in Belfast and Sarajevo, in Beirut and Baghdad—watching the people within those borders burn."